JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

LA PLAINTIFFS WE FANGLIU	(2222.01.00			DEFENDANT SLAY TRANSPO WILLIAM McCR	TS ORTATION	CO., INC. and			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kings (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys /Firm Name, Laynas & Georges, P.C. 1500 JFK Boulevard, Su Philadelphia, PA 19102	ite 1300	r) 151-8700		Attorneys (If Know	vn)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF		L PARTIES			
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Onl	PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		or ()efenda PTF 4	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	☼ 2 □ 2	Incorporated and I of Business In A		5	i≭ 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country		Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				ORFETTURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature of			
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR' 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 70 Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	XTY	DRIETTURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 88 26 Other Description of Property 21 USC 88 Descriptio	422 Appr 423 With 28 U 423 With 28 U 424 With 28 U 425 With 28 U 426 With 28 U 426 With 28 U 426 With 28 U 426 With 28 With	cal 28 USC 158 drawal ISC 157 RTY RIGHTS rrights at t- Abbreviated Drug Application emark (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	375 False Cl 376 Qui Tarr 3729(a) 400 State Re 410 Antitrus 430 Banks an 450 Commen 460 Deporta 470 Racketer Corrupt 480 Consum 490 Cable/Si 850 Securitis Exchan 890 Other St 891 Agricult 893 Environ 895 Freedom Act 896 Arbitrati 899 Adminis Act/Rev	aims Act n (31 USC) aapportionn t nd Banking ree tion er Influenc Organizati er Credit at TV ge atutory Ac ural Acts nental Matt n of Inform ion tirative Pro Decision tionality of	ment g ced and cons dittes/ ctions cters nation
	moved from 3 the Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court	Reop	pened Ano (spec	***	6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	ı -	Multidist Litigation Direct Fil	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Differ description of ea		nia						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$ 500,000.00		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complair No	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 06/30/2022	····	SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY (OF RECORD	•				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	MOUNTTAUDON	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	;	MAG. JUE	OGE		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
215-851-8700	267-282-0422	SLaynas@Laynaslaw.com	l
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
6/30/22	As Mun	Plaintiff	
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fall in	to any one of the other tracks.	(x)
commonly referred to as	complex and that need s	tracks (a) through (d) that are special or intense management by tailed explanation of special	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal i	njury or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designated for	arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services der	requesting review of a dentity	ecision of the Secretary of Health curity Benefits.	()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C.	§ 2241 through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MA	ANAGEMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	ise Management Track Die a copy on all defendants event that a defendant deshall, with its first appearaties, a Case Managemen	Pelay Reduction Plan of this court, couns designation Form in all civil cases at the tiss. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the recess not agree with the plaintiff regarding rance, submit to the clerk of court and sent Track Designation Form specifying the assigned.	me of everse g said eve on
SLAY TRANSPORTATION CO WILLIAM McCRACKEN	., INC. and	NO.	
v.	:		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

WEI FANG LIU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM
(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the

	7306 6th Street, Brooklyn, NY	T :			
Address of Plaintiff:	/ JUD DIII DII GGI, DI GONIYII, 141	11209			
Address of Defendant:	1100 N. First Street, East St. Lou	uis, IL 62201			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	Hamburg Borougn, Be	erks County, PA			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered	to any of the following questions:				
Is this case related to property included in an earl previously terminated action in this court?	lier numbered suit pending or within one year	Yes No 🗸			
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or g pending or within one year previously terminated		Yes No 🗸			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus case filed by the same individual?	, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	Yes No 🗸			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above. DATE: 06/30/2022	Must supply Must be proposed by the proposed	33906 Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			
CIVIL: (Place a √in one category only)		· -			
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction C	Cases:			
	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contracts 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle P 6. Other Personal I 7. Products Liabilit 8. Products Liabilit 9. All other Divers (Please specify):	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	All Other Contracts	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contracts 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle P 6. Other Personal I 7. Products Liabilit 8. Products Liabilit 9. All other Divers (Please specify): _	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of Steven Layrnas	All Other Contracts	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of the contract of the c	All Other Contracts	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of the contract of the c	All Other Contracts	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and A. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of	All Other Contracts	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WEI FANG LIU : Civil Action No.

Plaintiff

.

SLAY TRANSPORTATION CO., INC.

v.

And

WILLIAM McCRACKEN

•

Defendants : No.

COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiff, Wei Fang Liu, is an individual citizen of the State of New York, residing at 7306 6th Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11209.
- 2. Defendant, Slay Transportation Co., Inc., is an Illinois corporation with offices for the purposes of conducting business located at 1100 N. First Street, East St. Louis, IL 62201.
- 3. Defendant, William McCracken, is an individual citizen of the State of Ohio, residing at 34431 River Street, Sardis, OH 43946.

Jurisdiction

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction with respect to this matter under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1332, as there exists a diversity of citizenship between the parties and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00.
- 5. Venue is proper in this Court under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1391 because the events giving rise to this cause of action occurred within this District.

Demand for Jury Trial

6. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

Factual Allegations

- 7. On or about January 3, 2021, at or about 10:15 p.m., plaintiff, Wei Fang Liu, was the operator of an automobile traveling eastbound on I-78 at or near exit 29, a public thoroughfare in Hamburg Borough, County of Berks, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 8. On or about January 3, 2021, at or about 10:15 p.m., defendant, William McCracken, was the operator of a tractor trailer which was proceeding on I-78 at or near exit 29, a public thoroughfare in Hamburg Borough, County of Berks, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, which was traveling behind the vehicle operated by plaintiff, Wei Fang Liu, as aforesaid.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Defendant, Slay Transportation Co., Inc., owned the tractor trailer being operated by the defendant, William McCracken, and involved in this accident more fully described hereafter.
- 10. At all times material to this Civil Action, defendant, Slay Transportation Co., Inc., acted through his agent servant, workman and/or employee, William McCracken, who was then and there acting within the course and scope of his employment and/or in furtherance of defendant, Slay Transportation Co., Inc.'s interests.
- 11. At all times material to this Civil Action, defendant, Slay Transportation Co., Inc., is vicariously liable for the negligence of its agent, servant, workman and/or employee, William McCracken.
- 12. As the vehicles were proceeding as aforesaid, defendant, William McCracken, failed to operate his vehicle in such a manner in order to allow him to come to a stop within the assured clear distance ahead, and as such, violently collided with the rear of plaintiff's vehicle, with the result that plaintiff suffered severe and serious injuries and damages as are hereinafter set forth.

COUNT I Wei Fang Liu v. William McCracken

- 13. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 12 as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 14. The injuries and damages sustained by plaintiff as are hereinafter set forth, were caused solely by and were the direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of defendant, William McCracken, then and there acting as the agent, servant, workman and/or employee of defendant, Slay Transportation, in any or all of the following respects:
 - In operating a motor vehicle at a high and dangerous rate of speed under the circumstances;
 - b. In failing to have the motor vehicle under proper control under the circumstances;
 - c. In that the driver was inattentive and failed to maintain a sharp lookout of the road and surrounding traffic conditions;
 - d. In failing to operate the brakes in such a manner as to cause the vehicle to stop in time to avoid the collision;
 - e. In failing to observe that care and caution required under the circumstances;
 - f. In violating the various statutes and municipal ordinances pertaining to the operation of motor vehicles on public thoroughfares under the circumstances;
 - g. In failing to maintain the assured clear distance ahead; and
 - h. In failing to maintain a reasonable lookout for the presence of other motor vehicles on the roadway.

- Solely as a result of the negligence of the defendant as aforesaid, plaintiff, Wei 15. Fang Liu, has sustained the following injuries, all of which are or may be of a serious and permanent nature, including C3-4 disc bulge with anterior thecal sac impingement; C4-5 and C6-7 disc herniation with anterior thecal sac impingement; C5-6 disc herniation with annular tear with anterior thecal sac impingement which required cervical discectomy C4-5 and C5-6 with annuloplasty, a surgical procedure; L3-4 disc bulge with anterior thecal sac impingement; L4-5 disc bulge and left foraminal herniation with annular tear and bilateral foraminal impingement with anterior thecal sac impingement and direct impingement upon bilateral L5 nerve roots; L5-S1 disc bulge abutting bilateral S1 nerve roots; right shoulder rotator cuff tendinosis with capsular hypertrophy of acromioclavicular joint and low-lying acromion with lateral downslope; subacromial/subdeltoid bursitis; left shoulder rotator cuff tendinosis; capsular hypertrophy of acromioclavicular joint and low-lying acromion with lateral downslope; post-traumatic neck pain requiring cervical interlaminar epidural steroid injection at C7-T1 and trigger point injection of lumbar paraspinals, gluteus maximus and quadratus lumborum; and other severe and serious injuries, the full extent of which are not known at present, including possible aggravation and activation of pre-existing injuries.
- 16. As a result of the injuries as aforesaid, plaintiff, Wei Fang Liu, has sustained the following damages:
 - Said plaintiff has been and will be required to in the future expend large sums of money for surgical and medical attention, hospitalization, medical supplies, surgical appliances, medicines and attendant services;
 - b. Said plaintiff has been injured;

- c. Said plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer great pain, suffering, inconvenience, embarrassment and mental anguish;
- d. Said plaintiff has been deprived of earnings;
- e. Said plaintiff has been disfigured;
- f. Said plaintiff's general health, strength and vitality have been impaired; and
- g. Said plaintiff has suffered a loss of the enjoyment of life.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, Wei Fang Liu, hereby demands damages of the defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00), together with costs of suit, interest and attorneys' fees.

COUNT II Wei Fang Liu v. Slay Transportation Co., Inc.

- 17. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 17 as though set forth fully at length herein.
- 18. At all times relevant hereto, defendant William McCracken, was then and there operating said vehicle in the course and scope of his employment and in the furtherance of Slay Transportation Co., Inc.'s business.
- 19. Defendant, Slay Transportation Co., Inc., is responsible for the actions of William McCracken, its agent, servant, workman and/or employee under a theory of respondent superior.
- 20. The negligence of defendant Slay Transportation Co., Inc., through their agent, servant, workman and/or employee, William McCracken, consisting of the following:
 - a. In operating a motor vehicle at a high and dangerous rate of speed under the circumstances;

- b. In failing to have the motor vehicle under proper control under the circumstances;
- c. In that the driver was inattentive and failed to maintain a sharp lookout of the road and surrounding traffic conditions;
- d. In failing to operate the brakes in such a manner as to cause the vehicle to stop in time to avoid the collision;
- e. In failing to observe that care and caution required under the circumstances;
- f. In violating the various statutes and municipal ordinances pertaining to the operation of motor vehicles on public thoroughfares under the circumstances;
- In failing to maintain the assured clear distance ahead; and g.
- In failing to maintain a reasonable lookout for the presence of other motor h. vehicles on the roadway.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, Wei Fang Liu, hereby demands damages of the defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00), together with costs of suit, interest and attorneys fees.

LAYNAS & GEORGES, P.C.

By:

AS, Esquire 1500 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1300

Philadelphia, PA 19102

(215) 851-8700; (267) 282-0422 (fax)

SLaynas@Laynaslaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff